

MICHAEL K. KONTESSIS

BSc in Math. (Athens)

MSc in O.R. (Southampton)

PhD in, Econ. (G.I.S.Th.)

**AN EXTENSION OF AN ALGORITHM DEVELOPED
BY P. HAMMER AND S. RUDEANU FOR MINIMIZING
FRACTIONAL PSEUDO-BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS**

INTRODUCTION AND THE ALGORITHM OF INTEREST

Several methods (including the ones in reference) have been developed in order to minimize (or maximize) a fractional pseudo-boolean function of the form

$$f(\bar{x}) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k}{b_0 + b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_k x_k}, \quad x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad (0.1)$$

with or without constraints. In these methods either the positivity or negativity of the coefficients a_j and b_j is particularly observed.

P. Hammer and S. Rudeanu^{1,2} developed an algorithm, called «Algorithm-I» in order to achieve all vectors $x \in \{0, 1\}^k$ minimizing the function 7 with out constraints, under the assumption the coefficients a_j and b_j ($j=0, 1, 2, \dots, k$) to be non-negative, but the strict positivity of the constant term b_0 is particularly requested.

This algorithm, based on three lemmas, may be briefly described as follows:

ALGORITHM-I

Step 1. If, for some $j=1, 2, \dots, k$, $a_j=0$ and $b_j=0$ put $x_j=1$. If $a_j > 0$ and $b_j=0$ put $x_j=0$.

Step 2. Define the set I of all remaining indices with $a_j/b_j \leq a_0/b_0$ and the set J with $a_j/b_j > a_0/b_0$.

Step 3. For every $j \in J$ put $x_j=0$.

Step 4. Find the smallest index $j_1 \in I$ for which $a_{j_1}/b_{j_1} = \min_{j \in I} (a_j/b_j)$.

4a. If $a_{j_1}/b_{j_1} < a_0/b_0$, set $x_{j_1}=1$ and compute new constant terms $a'_0 = a_0 + a_{j_1}$ and $b'_0 = b_0 + b_{j_1}$.

4b. If $a_{j_1}/b_{j_1} = a_0/b_0$, set $x_{j_1}=p$, where p denotes a 0-1 parameter.

In both cases repeat the algorithm from the step 2 until all the variables x_j are exhausted.

It is now clear the fact that after executing the step 1 a new function of the same form of 0.1 is resulted, in which all coefficients a_j and b_j are strictly positive. In the other hand, the resulted value of the fraction a_0/b_0 is of essential importance since it plays a critical role in all next

repeated steps 2 to 4. It is also clear that if this fraction takes a specific value in starting the algorithm-1 from the step 2, it will keep a specific value in all algorithm's repetitions as well. Thus the only point needed to be examined is the initial value of the rate a_0/b_0 .

If $b_0 > 0$ the rate a_0/b_0 accepts always a specific value and the algorithm-1 leads to all minimizing points of the function f . But, what about $b_0 = 0$? This is the case in which the algorithm cannot be started and it is not considered^{1, 2*}.

In the present paper the lemma 1, stated by Hammer and Rudeanu², page 153, is going to be generalized in order to include the case $b_0 = 0$ as well as the necessary modifications to the algorithm-1 that should be made in order to be applicable in all combinations of the zero values of a_0 and b_0 .

GENERALIZATION OF LEMMA-1 AND EXTENSION OF THE ALGORITHM-1

Let a_j and b_j be strict positive in the initial function 0.1, $j=1, 2, \dots, k$. This assumption does not cause any loss to generality since if ($a_j=0, b_j > 0$) or ($a_j > 0, b_j=0$) we apply the step 1 and a new function is resulted with all coefficients a_j and b_j strict positive. Thus, the rates a_j/b_j possess specific values for all $j=1, 2, \dots, k$.

Instead of the rate a_0/b_0 , we introduce a new critical quantity q in order to define the sets I and J in a new way, as follows:

- i) If $b_0 > 0$ set $q = a_0/b_0$.
- ii) If $b_0 = 0$ and $a_0 = 0$ set $q = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{a_j/b_j\}$.
- iii) If $b_0 = 0$ and $a_0 > 0$ set q equal to an arbitrary value greater than $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{a_j/b_j\}$.

Now, the sets I and J are defined as follows:

$$I = \{j : a_j/b_j \leq q\} \quad (1.1)$$

$$J = \{j : a_j/b_j > q\} \quad (1.2)$$

* In later papers, stated by P. Robillard⁴ and M. Florian and P. Robillard⁵, generalizations of this algorithm are derived but, the strict positivity of the coefficient b_0 is still meant.

Under the conditions, stated above, the following generalization of lemma-1 is valid.

LEMMA-1*

If the sets I and J are defined as in 1.1 and 1.2 the relation

$$\frac{a_o + \sum_{j \in I} a_j x_j}{b_o + \sum_{j \in I} b_j x_j} < \frac{a_o + \sum_{j \in I} a_j x_j + \sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j}{b_o + \sum_{j \in I} b_j x_j + \sum_{j \in J} b_j x_j} \quad (1.3)$$

holds.

Proof. If $b_o > 0$ then we are faced with the case studied²; let now $b_o = 0$ and $a_o = 0$. If j_0 is the index for which

$$\frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\{ \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

then

$$q = \frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} \quad (1.5)$$

and for all $j \in I$ the relations

$$\frac{a_j}{b_j} \geq \frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} < \frac{a_j}{b_j} \quad (1.6)$$

hold which yield to

$$\frac{\sum_{j \in I} a_j x_j}{\sum_{j \in I} b_j x_j} \geq \frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a_{j_0}}{b_{j_0}} < \frac{\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j}{\sum_{j \in J} b_j x_j} \quad (1.7)$$

especially. The last two relations 1.7 combined lead to

$$\frac{\sum_{j \in I} a_j x_j}{\sum_{j \in I} b_j x_j} = \frac{\sum_{j \in I} a_j x_j + \sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j}{\sum_{j \in I} b_j x_j + \sum_{j \in J} b_j x_j} \quad (1.8)$$

in which relation the equality holds when $J = \emptyset$. The relation 1.8 means that the lemma-1* in the case $a_o = 0, b_o = 0$ is valid. Finally, let the case $b_o = 0, a_o < 0$. In this last case $J = \emptyset$ and the relation 1.3 clearly holds with respect the equality. Therefore, the lemma-1* is completely proved.

On the basis of the lemma-1*, proved above and the lemmas 2 and

3 stated in [2], the algorithm-I can be extended, in order to include all combinations between the zero values of the constant terms a_0 and b_0 , as follows:

ALGORITHM-I*

Step 1. If for some $j=1,2,\dots,k$ $a_j=0$ and $b_j>0$ set $x_j=1$.
If $a_j<0$ and $b_j=0$ set $x_j=0$.

Step 1*. If $b_0>0$ set $q = \frac{a_0}{b_0}$

$$\text{If } b_0=0 \text{ and } a_0=0 \text{ set } q = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\{ \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right\}$$

$$\text{If } b_0=0 \text{ and } a_0>0 \text{ set } q > \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\{ \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right\}$$

Step 2*. Define the set I to be the set of all j with $\frac{a_j}{b_j} \leq q$ and the set J to be the set of all j with $\frac{a_j}{b_j} > q$.

Step 3. Set $x_j=0$ for every $j \in J$.

Step 4. Find the smallest index $j_1 \in I$ for which $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} = \min_{j \in I} \left\{ \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right\}$

4a. If $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} < q$ set $x_{j_1}=1$ and compute new constant terms $a'_0 = a_0 + a_{j_1}$ and $b'_0 = b_0 + b_{j_1}$.

4b. If $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} = q$ set $x_{j_1}=p$, where p is an 0-1 parameter and compute new constant term $a'_0 = a_{j_1}$ and $b_0 = b_{j_1}$.

In both cases repeat the algorithm from the step 1* until all variables x_j are exhausted.

It is worth to note at this point that, when the algorithm-J* is applied to the case $a_0=b_0=0$, that is when we are faced with the minimization of the function

$$g(\vec{x}) = \frac{a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k}{b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_k x_k}, \quad (1.9)$$

then every repetition of the algorithm-1* ends at the step 4a and so, this is an indirect way to achieve the very simple procedure:

PROCEDURE I

Step 1. Find the set $I = \left\{ j : \frac{a_j}{b_j} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{a_i}{b_i} \right\} \right\}$ and the set

$$J = \left\{ j : \frac{a_j}{b_j} > \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{a_i}{b_i} \right\} \right\}.$$

Step 2. For every $j \in I$ set $x_j = p_j$, where p_j is a 0-1 parameter, while for every $j \in J$ set $x_j = 0$.

It should be noted that the solution $(0, \dots, 0)$ will appear if we combine the values of the parameters p_j . Since this solution leads to a non-specified value of the function g it must be rejected from the set of solutions.

EXAMPLES

Example 2.1 (Algorithm 1* application)

Let the function

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \frac{x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 5x_5}{2x_1 + 2x_3 + 4x_4 + x_5} \quad (2.1)$$

to be minimized. The step-by-step application of the algorithm 1* is as follows:

1. Since $a_2 = 4 > 0$ and $b_2 = 0$ we set $x_2 = 0$ and the new function

$$f_1(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \frac{x_1 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 5x_5}{2x_1 + 2x_3 + 4x_4 + x_5} \quad (2.2)$$

is to be minimized. All its coefficients are strictly positive except $a_0 = b_0 = 0$.

1*. Since $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ we choose $\frac{a_0}{b_0} = \min_{j \in S} \frac{a_j}{b_j} = \frac{1}{2}$, where $S = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$

2. We find $I = \{1, 3, 4\}$ and $J = \{5\}$

3. We put $x_5 = 0$

4. The smallest index $j_1 \in I$ for which $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} = \frac{a_0}{b_0} = \frac{1}{2}$ is $j_1 = 1$. Therefore we put $x_1 = p_1$. The new function is now

$$f_2(x_3, x_4) = \frac{x_3 + 2x_4}{2x_3 + 4x_4} \quad (2.3)$$

2. $I = \{3,4\}$, $J' = \emptyset$

3. No $j \in J'$

4. Now $j_1 = 3$ with $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} = \frac{a_o}{b_o} = \frac{1}{2}$ and so $x_3 = p_3$. The new function is

$$f_3(x_4) = \frac{2x_4}{4x_4} \quad (2.4)$$

2''. $I'' = \{4\}$, $J'' = \emptyset$

3''. No $j \in J''$

4''. The final index is $j_1 = 4$ with $\frac{a_{j_1}}{b_{j_1}} = \frac{a_o}{b_o} = \frac{1}{2}$ and so $x_4 = p_4$.

The algorithm terminates at this point since all variables are exhausted. Thus, the optimal solution is

$$\bar{x}^*(p_1, p_3, p_4) = (p_1, 0, p_3, p_4, 0) \quad (2.5)$$

under the condition p_1, p_3, p_4 to be not all equal to zero. The minimal value of f is

$$f(\bar{x}^*) = \frac{1}{2} \quad (2.6)$$

Example 2.2 (Procedure I application)

Let the function below to be minimized.

$$f(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, x_4, x_5) = \frac{2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 5x_5}{2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + 3x_5} \quad (2.7)$$

In this function all coefficients a_i, b_i are strictly positive while $a_o = b_o = 0$. Thus we may apply the procedure I.

1. Since $\min_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \frac{a_j}{b_j} = 1$ the sets I and J are:

$$I = \{1,3\} \quad \text{and} \quad J = \{2,4,5\}$$

2. Thus $x_1 = p_1, x_3 = p_3$ and $x_2 = x_4 = x_5 = 0$.

The solutions of the problem $\min f$ are

$$x^*(p_1, p_3) = (p_1, 0, p_3, 0, 0)$$

and the minimum value of f is

$$f(x^*) = 1$$

REFERENCE

1. *P. Hammer* and *S. Rudeanu*, «Pseudo-boolean Methods for Bivalent Programming», Lecture at the 1st European Meeting of the Institute of Management Sciences and of the Econometric Institute, Warsaw, September 1966. Sprienger - Verlag.
2. *P. Hammer* and *S. Rudeanu*, «Boolean methods in Operation Research», Sprienger - Verlag 1968, pp. 151-158.
3. *M. Florian* and *P. Robillard*, «Programmation Hyperbolique en variables bivalentes». Revue Française d'Informatique et de Research Operationelle, V. 1, 1971, pp. 3-9.
4. *P. Robillard*, «(0,1) Hyperbolic Programming Problems». Publication Departement d'informatique 19, Université de Montreal, 1970.